NORTH NEWNTON NDP SITE ASSESSMENTS



Surveyors(s): Carolyn Whistler, and Maggie Draper (with Jeff Bishop)

Date: 24th April 2018

FORM A: BASELINE INFORMATION

DESIGNATIONS & KNOWN CONSTRAINTS

Settlement/parish name	North Newnton		
Site name/address	Rushall Road (NB. Advert only submitted, no form)		
Gross area (hectares)	0.34 (or 0.84 according to agent)		
Current/recent uses	Occasional pasture		
Site Planning History			
K/77/0364	For one dwelling on site, refused 18.08.77.		
K/81/0955	For 9 detached houses on site, refused 21.01.82.		
K/83/0029	For 9 detached houses on site, refused 24.02.83.		
General Location in relation to the settlement	Within On Edge Detached		

If agricultural land, what grade? Ring one				
1 2	4	5		
 Landscape Character Area Which area is the site in? North Wessex Downs AONB, All of the triangle of Upavon settlement – including this sit Landscape Characterisation Environment Record. 	Road, Rushall e - is describe	Road and the developed as a 'rural settlement	on the Historic	
All or part of the site an SSSI or SNC Comments:	I? YES	NO		
Any SSSIs or SNCIs nearby? Quick notes (what, how near etc.):	YES	NO		

Any Listed Buildings on site? Comments: Woodbridge Inn, off the site to the n	YES north, bey	NO yond the roundabout,	is listed Grade
11 (1185256).			
Any Recorded Archaeology? Comments:	YES	NO	
(Comments from the Wiltshire and SwindorNo archaeological record on site.		•	
 Remains of post-medieval field bout to north east across Upvon Road (1) Possible medieval ploughed headle bank to north west of Rushall Road (1) 	64187). ands, ear	rthwork, cropwork and	•
Public Rights of Way on site? Comments:	YES	NO	
Public Rights of Way near site? Comments (alongside, near, where, what	YES number)	NO :	
Flood Risk on site? Comments:	YES	NO	FZ1
Any overhead wires on site? Comments:	YES	NO	
Any known contamination on site? Comments:	YES	NO	
Any Tree Preservation Orders on the site? Locate:	YES	NO	
Other Known/Recorded Constraints?			

FORM B: SITE CONNECTIONS

1. WALKING TO LOCAL FACILITIES

Facility	Distance (metres)	Route Quality	Observations and comments on safety and quality of route (Annotate the map if that helps. Photos can also help.)
Village Hall		Good	
		Fair	
		Poor	
Pub	c.50m.	Good	But unsafe to walk on Rushall Road
		Fair	
		Poor	
Bus Stop		Good	But unsafe to walk on Rushall Road
		Fair	
		Poor	
Any other facilities		Good	Other facility names:
idcillies		Fair	
		Poor	

2. VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS

General quality of access	Tick one
Good:	
Easy access into the site and good roads approaching it.	
Fair:	YES
Poor access into the site but good approach roads, or poor access into the	
site and good approach roads.	
Poor:	
Poor access onto the site and poor roads approaching it.	

Number of Accesses. Type (vehicle or pedestrian)
Observations / Comments / Site Visit Notes / Record of Photos
Key Issues if Fair or Poor ticked

- Ability to deliver road access uncertain because of gentle bend in road
- Speed of traffic.
- Lack of pavements.

3. CONNECTIONS SUMMARY: Overall, how well connected is the site?

Note key points in the site's favour in terms of connections:

• Not very well connected in its own right (see Upavon Road and Field House sites).

Note any key points in terms of connections that would have to be addressed (mitigation) to make it a suitable site:

- Road and pedestrian access.
- NB. Funding approval has recently been given for construction of a footpath along the western side of Rushall Road between the existing housing and the roundabout.

FORM C: SITE ENVIRONMENT

1. SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Adjacent Uses Describe briefly Residential to south	1			
Landform: Flat Floor Plateau	Gently Undulating	Strongly Undu	lating Steep	Valley Valley
Observations/Note	es/Record of Photos:			
Views In: Wide	Channelled	Long	Short	Glimpsed
·	of View/Record of Ph		cations).	
Views Out: Wide	Channelled	Long	Short	Glimpsed
• Good views	of View/Record of Ph			
Natural Features	on and around the s	site (Age/Cond	dition/Value):	
Boundary Hedgerd	ow Trees	Freestanding	g Shrubs	Grass
Numbers, Quality o	and Value - Observati	ons/Notes/Rec	ord of Photos	
One small s	hrub/tree, otherwise v	ery open.		
Overhead lines e Are there any, if so See earlier				
Ecology: (Known/	Observed/Guessed):			

Birds	Signs of Mammals	Wildlife Corridors	Water Habitat
Commen	ts/Notes, Quality and Value		

Built Form on the site:

Observations/Notes/Record of Photos

Walls On-site freestanding buildings/structures None (caravan on site)

Comments/Notes, Observations/Notes/Record of Photos

Relationship to the settlement:

Infill within the settlement? At the edge of the settlement Backland?

Extending development? Bringing development together?

Comments/Notes, Observations/Notes/Record of Photos

• Adjacent to and potentially part of the existing settlement.

Looking across site south east from road



Looking across site east from road



Looking across site north east from road (Upavon Road site beyond hedge)



2. ENVIRONMENT SUMMARY

Environment Quality and Value: Does the recorded information above suggest that the site is of high	
environmental quality and value, or is it medium or low?	Tick one
High	
Medium	YES
Low	

Note key points in the site's favour in terms of environment:

- Potential to create strong built and/or landscape form at corner with roundabout.
- Potential to link the main part of the settlement to the Woodbridge Inn with pavements/paths.

Note the key points in terms of environment that would have to be addressed (mitigation) to make it a suitable site:

- Landscape impact.
- Any impact on listed Woodbridge Inn.

FORM D: OVERALL ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The site is suitable for allocation The site is well located and has lower environmental sensitivity to change.	
The site is well located and has lower environmental sensitivity to change.	
This is a potential allocation subject to on- or off-site mitigation	YES
The site is either well located but with higher environmental sensitivity to	
change or poorly located but with lower environmental sensitivity to change.	
The site is unsuitable for allocation	
The site is poorly connected and has higher environmental sensitivity to	
change.	

What are the key reasons behind your final judgement?

- Location, lack of really special existing qualities to protect.
- Potential to create strong corner in design terms and link to Woodbridge Inn.
- Completes (with the Upavon Road site) a clear triangular settlement.
- Best developed with Upavon Road and Field House sites, all as one.

If the site was judged to be potentially suitable, what mitigation might be needed to make it properly suitable?

- The site is diagonally opposite the listed Woodbridge Inn. As such, development of the site is unlikely to cause harm to the Inn's setting if in line with the criteria below.
- Development is unlikely to cause harm to the wider landscape of the AONB.
- (This is not dependent on it being developed with the Upavon Road site but a combined development would be preferred and better able to address any impacts.)
- Building heights to be limited to two storeys.
- Strong green boundaries will be required to all sides, including towards the existing settlement.
- Care needed with the choice of wall and roof materials.
- No street lighting, down-facing lighting only.
- Design styles to complement the Woodbrige Inn and enhance the identity of the roundabout corner.
- Road access and footpaths to be agreed.

If the site was judged to be suitable or potentially suitable, what principles or conditions might be applied in the allocation (e.g. heights, screening, materials etc.)?

(Add comments on the site plan if this helps, e.g. to show a key view.)

Criteria (as above and others) to be included in the plan.

